#### Learning and Teaching Enhancement Project Report, May 2016

Project Title: Transition of automated feedback and marking into student's learning environment School & department: Computer Science, School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences

Please be aware that this report will be publicly available on the University's webpages Please complete in <u>Word</u> and return to <u>Mirren.McLeod@hw.ac.uk</u> by 30.5.16 (reports not completed in Word will be returned)

1	Names and Heriot-Watt University contact details of project team (please identify the project lead/report author):
	Project lead and main report author: Gudmund Grov (G.Grov@hw.ac.uk). Co-authors: Verena Rieser, Michael Lones and Greame Reid
2	Key words:
	Technology Enhanced Learning and Teaching, Student Transitions, 2st year students, self-directed learning, Automation, formative feedback, marking, computer programming
3	The problem being addressed, with background and context:
	This is the second phase <sup>1</sup> of a project concerned with the problem of how to provide timely and constructive feedback to first year Computer Science (CS) students by developing an automated feedback framework.
	Learning how to program computers is one of the major hurdles for our first year students across Heriot-Watt campuses. A multi-national, multi-institutional assessment of programming skills of first-year CS students found that many students do not know how to program at the conclusion of their introductory courses (McCracken et al., 2001). Some of the potential issues for this poor performance discussed in the study are constrained lab time, large classes and insufficient feedback. In previous work, we found that automated feedback can help students to become independent learners when faced with new programming tasks (Rieser, PGCAP report, 2014).
	The aim of this project was to develop technology and apply it within teaching in order to help first year CS students to become independent learners by using automated technology.
	The goal is to support students in mastering the art of programming during their first year at the university, and, at the same time, liberate staff from low-level and repetitive question from students, enabling them to focus on deeper learning problems.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In order to make the report self-sufficient we have included outcomes from the first phase (entitled `Helping 1st Year CS Students to Become Independent Learners Through Automated Feedback') as well in this report. As a result, we will repeat some of the necessary background and summarise previous outcomes in this report.

#### 4 **Project overview & aims:**

The main objective of this project is to develop and evaluate a platform which automatically generates feedback for programming projects to **provide students with immediate formative feedback** and **help them to become independent learners**. Furthermore, this platform will provide students with a **uniform flexible learning experience across the different campuses**, and support staff by automating some of the marking.

The basis for the feedback platform is a technique known as *unit testing*, which enables us to write a set of tests. These tests can be used by students to automatically evaluate their code. Unit tests allow to automatically identify mistakes. However, these tests currently do not generate any meaningful feedback, which would allow students to learn from their mistakes. Here, **we study whether this automatic analysis can be used to generate formative feedback**, for example, in terms of clues and tips how to improve the code. This technique is called `*scaffolding*' (Vihavainen et al. 2013).

Our focus is on the Java language, which is used in our first year courses. Java supports unit testing via the JUnit framework.

Using JUnit, this projects builds an automated test harness for Java programming to generate formative feedback to the students. This type of automated feedback has been shown to be successful in, for example, teaching students how to code using Java in a different platform (Vihavainen et al. 2013). The tests are a piece of Java code, which are able to automatically find errors in the code and detect missing aspects.

We run two programming courses, Software Development 2 (SD2 led by Rieser and Lones) and Software Development 3 (SD3 led by Grov), in parallel during second semester for first year Computer Science students. Before attending these courses, students will already have had some basic introduction to programming in Java via Software Development 1, which is taught in the first semester. They are expected to deepen their knowledge and skills in these two more advanced courses.

Our original plan was to develop a joint coursework for Software Devlopment 2 and Software Devlopment 3, which depends on running the courses in parallel. There are currently discussions of restructuring the courses so that this will no longer be the case. As a joint coursework will limit generic use for others we have decided to prioritised this down. Our current focus is to make the tool as applicable to as many situations as possible, rather than targeting it at a specific piece of coursework. A key challenge is to find which type of exercises are amendable to automatic feedback, and which type will require help from lab helpers/lecturers. Once identified, this can be further extended to automate some of the marking process. As such, the final objective is to extend the framework with automated marking, and discover which properties can be automatically marked and which require human intervention.

5 Activities and details of project steps taken to achieve aims:

To achieve these goals, the following approach was taken (see Section 7a for further details):



	1. We developed a specification of the requirements for the unit tests based on the existing lab
	<ol> <li>We developed generic unit test cases, answering the question of "what type of feedback can we provide automatically?"</li> </ol>
	3. We applied the framework in teaching and evaluated the results.
	4. Improved platform based on feedback from evaluations.
	In future work we will:
	reaching
	6. Extend platform with automated marking functionality for coursework.
	<ol><li>Test platform, debug, and review units with respect to their pedagogical quality regarding the feedback they produce (in collaboration with academic staff).</li></ol>
	We are currently:
	8. Disseminating activity through appropriate channels.
6	Key points including challenges your team may have encountered:
Ŭ	ney pointe molutang onancinges your team may have choountered.
	The experiments have shown that unit testing is a suitable mechanism to provide feedback concerning shallow surface learning but prove difficult when applied to deep student learning. Deep learning involves the critical analysis of new ideas, linking them to already known concepts and principles, and leads to understanding and long-term retention of concepts so that they can be used for problem solving in unfamiliar contexts. In contrast, surface learning is the tacit acceptance of information and memorization as isolated and unlinked facts.
	In terms of exercises for computer programming, this means that unit tests are suitable to check whether students were able to solve a prescriptive task (shallow learning), but they are not suited to test whether the students is able to creatively apply the underlying principles to solve an unseen problem.
	The use of the tool in a lab with students, has shown that students found the feedback useful and would like to see similar tools in other courses. However student feedback has highlighted the following shortcomings: Automatic feedback should be more specifically geared towards the problem at hand. Students found the feedback too generic, which was perceived as not as helpful.
	In the future, we plan to investigate how to use interactive systems which can generate tailored language-based feedback on the fly, such as tutorial dialogue (Litman, 2013).
7	Describe specific project outputs so far:
a	



and the instructions comprising thos	rams) and ASM (enables us to look at the methods in a class se methods).
Using the reflect and ASM libraries analysing whether student written c posses (whether they are abstract c classes contain.	we have managed to create software tools that are capable or lasses exist; the fields, variables and properties those classe or inherit from another class for example); and the methods the
Methods can also be tested for cert based on given input or whether a r the test, the tool also displays mess	ain behaviours, such as whether they return expected output nethod calls itself, indicating recursion. If a student's code fai ages to the student offering feedback and advice.
Using this range of abilities it is pos- tasks and enable us to check for the and methods. Crucially, it allows us simple program creation tasks. Whe offering advice that is relevant to the class, then a message is displayed pitfalls are shown to the student, su case sensitive.	sible to test and provide feedback on a number of different lat existence of specific Java features such as classes, variable to check whether students have successfully accomplished en one of these tests fails, messages are displayed to the stud e failure point. For example, if the test fails to detect a given offering information on the correct creation of classes. Comm ch as not closing brackets and the fact that class names are
🔊 Advice	– L X
No method was found. Methods are created inside classes in t	he following format:
[Modifiers] [Return Type] [Method Name	] ([Arguments]){}
For example:	
public void helloWorld(){	
System.out.println("Hello Wordl"); }	



immediate advice when it is needed to progress in a task; a feature of the educational Scaffolding theory.

One of the early drawbacks we had to overcome for these tests is that they look for specific class, method or variable names. This meant that students performing the coursework needed to be told specifically what to create, potentially limiting their opportunities for creativity and problem solving. This is not such a problem in the early stages of the course where the goal of the coursework is to simply teach the mechanical how-to of program writing but it will became more of an issue as the course progresses and the tasks, concepts and learning outcomes become more complex (see above discussion on shallow vs deep learning).

One solution we have found is to identify whether classes or methods exist by their output rather than their name because it is the output, and not the name, that is the relevant part. For example, we have created a sample lab that deals with recursively calculating various outputs, such as the sum of numbers or multiplication. It has been possible to create tests that take a class and analyse each of the methods in it, looking for the desired behaviour. If no method is found that fulfils the criteria of the lab task then the tool provides targeted feedback based on where the test failed. However, this too becomes problematic as tasks become more complex as every method would need every test applied to it.

There is also the problem, once again, of restricting the way students solve a problem if the tests are looking for methods that act in a specific way. It is also difficult to identify when a student solution is nearly correct, i.e. differentiating between different levels of problem solving, using this method. A missing semi-colon will carry the same weight as a fundamental error in the way a student is approaching a problem, which makes targeting advice difficult.

We have then applied this tool to (part of) a lab of SD3. In this lab student had to implement functions for the following 3 problems and using the tool for automatic feedback generation:

#### Recursive sum of numbers

Write a recursive method **sum**, which given a number n, returns the sum of all positive numbers up to n - that is, it computes:

sum(n) = 1 + 2 + ... + n

#### Recursive multiplication using only addition

Write a recursive method multiply which given two (positive) integers m and n as arguments, computes m\*n using only addition (and recursion).

#### Computes a Fibonacci number

The Fibonacci sequence is 0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,.... You should write a method Fibonacci which given n as an argument, returns the nth Fibonacci number using recursion, that is



Fibonacci(0) = 0, FiboNacci(1) = 1, FiboNacci(2) = 1, FiboNacci(3) = 2, FiboNacci(4) = 4, FiboNacci(5) = 5, FiboNacci(6) = 8, FiboNacci(7) = 13, FiboNacci(8) = 21, FiboNacci(9) = 34 and so on.

Afterwards we asked the students had to fill in an online (anonymous) questionnaire, which 41 of the students did. The full details of this can be found in section 11. Here we summarise the key points. A majority of the sudents **found the feedback useful** (either slightly or very) and that the tool was easy to setup and **worked as expected**. A majority of the student **would like to see more tools like this in teaching**. There were mixed views on how the use of the tool improved upon previous labs and whether or not the tool made the lab easier or harder to complete. A main reason for the negative comments seems to be technical. It should also be noted that this was the first time we tried to the tool and students used such a tool. One would therefore expect there to be issues that can be resolved next time. We therefore would expect students to be more positive if we try to run it again. The students had several comments on specific elements that didn't improve or features that they missed, and a common view was that the **feedback was too generic** and not specific enough for the task in hand. Here are some of the comments:

"It would be VERY helpful if the test program displayed my program's output"

"It worked nicely to not give too much detail to how you went wrong but enough to help you work out the problems"

"meant i could only use the tools advice and I couldn't self check my code or test it to see what would happen if i change the code myself"

"even when my code was wrong it would still say it was almost correct"

"All information was too generic to be helpful"

"did not show which operation failed, always showing the first method as the fail"

"Did not provide any useful feedback aside from whether you were right or wrong"

"dont give such stock feedback even though i had nothing written in the method and it said i was close"

"The tool provided generic information which did not particularly benefit me" "Specific information as to what went wrong as opposed to the generic information currently being provided"

"spews out too much text"

"The tool ran just fine but I struggled to understand the feedback"

Given these results, we investigated using and extending the tool. Improving the usability of the tool was a priority for us as its purpose is to make the learning process easier for students, not more confusing. Previous versions of the tool used a mix of the console and Eclipse's Junit result display to provide answers and feedback, examples of which can be seen below.



		Ouick Access	Java EE 🐉 Java 🕸 Debug
Package Explorer 1: Type Hierarchy 🚽 Whit 😫 📃 🗖	D Lab3Part1Test.java	AdvicePopUp,java  AnswerList.java  Lab3Part1.java 12	
0 0 a <sup>0</sup> 🖬   🗞 🗈 🖩 - 🔻	1 package SD3Labs;		<b>a</b>
hished after 0.521 seconds	3 public class Lab3Part1		
Runs: 3/3 Centrols: 0 Characteris: 0	5 6 //non-recursive		
SUSLables Faure Face	<pre>public static int su int res = 0; yhile(n &gt; 0)</pre>	mz(lat n){	
	10 res += n; 11 return res;		
	12 } 13		
	150 public static int sum(in 16 if (n==0)	t n) <u>⊀</u>	
	17 return 0; 18 else		
	20 )	- 1):	
	22 // Multiplication using addi 23 //recursive	tion	
	24⊕ public static int multip 25 if(n==0) 26 return 0	ly(int m, int m){	
console 44 :terminated> Lab3Part1Test [JUnit] /usr/java/jdk1.7.0_79/bin/java (31 Aug 2016	10:54:16)		
xamining method Fibonacci success. The following methods return the results expected of the	jiven		<u> </u>
nput and call inemserves, suggesting they are recursive: wiltiply ab 3 Part 1.3			
xamining method Sum2 xamining method sum			
xamining method multiply xamining method Fibonacci uncreas. The following methods return the results avaasted of the v	1. upp		
input and call themselves, suggesting they are recursive: Sibonacci	pa = 1.01		
	10		
		Writable Smart Insert 20:6	
New session ) Java - Jab4/src/Jab4/foxesAndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animal.java - EClij Ber Edit Refactor Source Navigate Search Project Run Window Me	950 Ia		- 0 ×
New session ) Java - Labd/src/Jabd/foxesAndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animaljava - Ecil je Edit Refactor Source Navigate Segrin Droject Ban Window He 	50 100 00 00 00 100 00 − 120 550	[\$ • 0 • \$ • = • \$ • [\$ # 6 • ] \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
New section ) java - Libbl/Incribbl/foresAndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animaljava - Echi je gat Refactor Source Newgate Search Project Bun Window He 	ו ♥ ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ■ ■ ■ ■ - ■	[ \$ • • O • • • • • • • • [ \$ • • [ \$ • • • • • •	- O X
New resides ) Java - Libid/Ircr1abd/foresAndRabbitGRAPH/agents/Animaljava - Ech Be gait Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window He □ - □ - □ - □ - □ + 1 + 10 ce 3 - 10 ce 3 - 10 ce 3 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 Fractage Explorer 1: Type Herarchy 2v Junit H = Search 2 - 2 - 0 = 0 Include Explorer 1: Type Herarchy 2v Junit H = 0 + 0 = 0	xe ∲ @ @ @ @ @ A B - ▼ = D 2   Q, Q, = E - ▼ = D	Image: Second	- o x
New session         Java - Labid:rrc/labid:foresAndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animaljava - Eccliple         gle       Science	xo  ● ● ● ● ●   A B   Ø -   2 2   0, 0, = E - ▼ ● D	Image: Second	- 5 x 0 X 2 java EE @/java & Debug
New resides 2 Java - Labid src fabd fores And Rabbits RAPH agents (Animal Java - Ech 3 Java - Labid src fabd fores And Rabbits RAPH agents (Animal Java - Ech 3 Java - Labid src fabd fores And Rabbits Bard and Sage States Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage Sage	xx ♥ ■ ● ● ● ● ● ▲ ■ ● ● -   2 ■   ● ● = ■ - ▼ ● ■	Image: Second	- 5 x 0 X 2 java EE @/java & Debug 0 0 1
New resides 2 Java - Label src / Label / fores And Rabbits RAPH / agents / Animal. Java - Ech 3 Je 201 Refactor Source Navigate Search Project Bun Window He 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	550 9 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Image: Second	- 5 x 0 X 2 java EE @/java & Debug 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
New resides 2 Java - Label src / Label / fores And Rabbits GRAPH / agents / Animal. Java - Ech 3 Je Gal Refactor Source Navigate Search Project Bun Window Hi 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	550 9 3 3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	<pre></pre>	- 5 x 0 X 2 java EE @/java & Debug 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
New resolum  Java - Label src / Label / fores And Rabbits GRAPH / agents / Animal.java - Ech  E dit Refactor Source Navigate Search Project Bun Window H  C	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x 0 X 2 java EE @/java & Debug 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
New resolum Java - Label src / Label / fores And Rabbits RAPH / agents / Animal Java - Ech Be _Git Refactor _gourd _Bavigate Search Project _Bun _Window _H 	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
New resolum 2) Java - Label src / Label / fores And Rabbits GRAPH / agents / Anima Java - Ech Be _Git Refactor gouce Bavigate Search project Bun Window Hi 	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
Here sension         Jayas a table rarc/sbbit/coresAndrabbit/coreAPH/agents/Animal_jaya = Ech         gle_gdt.Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         *       *         * <t< td=""><td>sse</td><td><pre></pre></td><td>- 5 x</td></t<>	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
New resolum  2 Java - Label src/Label /fores And Rabbits (RAPH agents / Anima Java - Ech  8 Edit Refactor Source Bavingate Search Project Bun Window Hi  9 Java - Label src/Label / Gale Cabel C	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
Alwa excision         2) Java a table/src/labd/fores.AndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animal_java = Ech         gle_git. Refactor gource gavingate Search project gav Window Hit         2) a value of table/src/labd/fores.AndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animal_java = Ech         2) a value of table/src/labd/fores.AndRabbitsGRAPH/agents/Animal_src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src/labd/fores.Animal/src	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
Alwa a table arc/slabd/fores.Andr.RabbitSGRAPH/agents/Animal.java - Ech         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         ge_git       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         Modifiers       Refactor News	sse	<pre></pre>	- 5 x
(New sexion)          J java a labdy arc/labd/forces.AndRabbitSGRAPH/agents/Animal.java - Ech         gle_gat       Refactor Source Newspate Search Project Bun Window Hit         I below in the second search of the search of the second search in the second search of the search of the second search of the second searc	by the second	<pre>     Accesser to the set of the set of</pre>	- 5 x
(New sexion)          P java a labdy arc/labd/forces.AndRabbitSGRAPH/agents/Animal.java - Ech         gie _git Refactor Source Navigate Segrch Project Bun Window Hit         git _git Refactor Source Navigate Segrch Project Bun Window Hit         git _git _git _git _git _git _git _git _	by the second	<pre>     Access is the field currently occupied.     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //**     //*</pre>	- 5 x
<pre>(New excise ) ) juva = labd/src/labd/foresAndRabbitSGRAPH/agents/Animal_java = Ccl ge</pre>	by the second	<pre>     Accurrentization of the second sec</pre>	- 5 x
<pre>(New excise ) ) pive a labd/src/labd/foresAndRabbitSGRAPH/agents/Animal_java - Ech ge</pre>	SSE         Image: State St	<pre>     Accurrentiation and the second sec</pre>	- 5 x
<pre>Choice statistics of the statistic statistic statistic statis</pre>	SSE         Image: State St	<pre>     Access if if</pre>	- 5 x
Image: Label and refrained/forwasAndRabbitSchAPH agents/Animal java - Etcl         IP Ends Refactor Source Navigate Search Project Bun Window Hit         Image: Search Project Bun Hit         Image: Search Project Bun Window Hit         Image: Search Project Bun Hit         Image: Search Project Bun Hit	555	<pre>     Answer(ist,java () LabSPart,java () Animaliest, java () Animaliest, java () LabSPart,java () Animaliest, java () Ani</pre>	- 5 ×



Having the feedback appear in multiple places was not ideal, particularly as the console display can be shrunk, moved or closed by users of Eclipse, meaning the size and location of the feedback could vary. Student feedback talked of struggling to understand the feedback and there being too much text. As such we implemented a new method of providing advice to the student. Pop up windows deliver the targeted advice regardless of whether the console is open or closed. This window can be repositioned and kept open for reference as the student continues to work, unlike the console which would be quickly over-written.



Due to a common piece of feedback being that the responses were too generic we focused on making the advice more tailored to specific circumstances. For example, if a method returned some right answers and some wrong answers then the previous version of the tool only reported the method returned some ,but not all, expected answers. No further details were given. A feature requested in the feedback was to show the answers that the test was expecting and the answers that it had received from the output of the students program. This was implemented and an example can be seen below.



The following methods	return some expected	answers, but not all:			
testsum1					
Input was: 5					
Expected outcome was	: 15				
Actual outcome was: 15	5				
Input was: 1					
Expected outcome was	:1				
Actual outcome was: 15	5				
Input was: 0					
Expected outcome was	:0				
Actual outcome was: 15	5				
The method does not ca	all itself so does not ap	pear to be recursive.			
Try starting with a base	case. Ask yourself wh	at the final state is tha	t will make	(	
the recursive method st	top calling itself. Witho	ut a base case the met	thod will ca	Il itself f	orever.
Also be cautious of usin	ig while or for loops. Us	sing recursion should p	prevent the	need fo	r these
and having them may be	e an indication that you	r solution is not recurs	ive.		
Check edge cases such	as an input of 0 are a	ccounted for.			
and a state of the					
testsum1					
demo of the tool rur	nning can be found f	from the following lin	nk:		

One of the learning outcomes for SD2 is that students are aware of and can apply object oriented programming principles, such as *coupling and cohesion*. This has proven difficult to test for. One early idea was to the capture the stack trace of the program to try and see how many steps were being taken but this has not produced anything useful so far. We have also investigated an alternative method, which is to count how many methods each class has and how many calls are made to other methods, which is possible using the ASM library. These numbers could be compared against an "ideal solution" to see if they fall within an acceptable threshold. However the concept of an "ideal solution" may be at odds with student creativity.

Furthermore, we investigated how to make the testing framework generic so that it may be used on multiple pieces of coursework. The *QuickCheck* library allows randomised test data to be created but the type of randomised data will still have to be manually set up as it will be specific to each coursework task. So far randomised test data seems to be more useful in catching edge cases in student code rather than creating a blanket set of tests for all pieces of coursework.



	As it stands, we have been able to greate estimate to the far labe comprising of discrete tools. We
	As it stands, we have been able to create satisfactory tests for fabs complising of discrete tasks. We are now working on combining tests such as these so that it is possible to automatically provide feedback and marks for a more complex piece of work such as a class project. Our original plan was to develop a joint coursework for Software Devlopment 2 and Software Devlopment 3, which depends on running the courses in parallel. There are currently discussions of restructuring the courses so that this will no longer be the case. As a joint coursework will have limited generic use for others we have decided to prioritised this down. Our current focus is to make the tool as applicable to as many situtations as possible, rather than targeting it at a specific piece of coursework. We are currently focusing on building a robust collection of methods that can be combined to provide tests and feedback for a large number of different labs and problem types. For it to be a truly useful learning tool it needs to flexible. To this end we have been making changes to the structure of the code and the way tests are created. The tool needs to be user-friendly not just for the student using it but also for the person creating the labs. They will need a flexible system for creating tests that are appropriate for the lab questions they are setting. We are developing a library of critera that tests can be built form (whether a method is recursive, whether it returns the correct addition or multiplication answer, etc), but for the tool to be more generic we will also need to develop a method for users to create their own critera and add them to tests. The changes being made to the code also focus on making the tool more robust and less-error prone to eliminate the technical issues brought up in the student feed-back.
7 b	Media output?No / In progress(This should be submitted to us by 13.6.16)
8	<ul> <li>Please describe how your project has contributed to the Heriot-Watt University strategy and priorities for Enhancement in terms of changes brought about to date, which may be described in terms of combinations of strategy, policy or practice (as relevant): <ol> <li>Shorter time to completion for Post-graduate Research students (PGR) (strategy, policy, practice)</li> <li>Improved retention and progression of undergraduate students (strategy, policy, practice)</li> <li>Graduate attributes (strategy, policy, practice)</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
8	<ul> <li>Please describe how your project has contributed to the Heriot-Watt University strategy and priorities for Enhancement in terms of changes brought about to date, which may be described in terms of combinations of strategy, policy or practice (as relevant): <ol> <li>Shorter time to completion for Post-graduate Research students (PGR) (strategy, policy, practice)</li> <li>Improved retention and progression of undergraduate students (strategy, policy, practice)</li> <li>Graduate attributes (strategy, policy, practice)</li> </ol> </li> <li>This project has contributed to improve the retention, progression and graduate attributes of undergraduate students in the following ways:</li> </ul>



This project supports the school's L&T strategy across many objectives. Firstly, one of the main goals within the L&T strategy is the integration of modern technology into our teaching methodology to improve the student experience. This project directly tackles this by developing a more flexible environment and new methods to engage with the material. Secondly, as the school moves to teaching more courses at different campuses and through partnerships with other FE and HE institutions this project will help provide students with a uniform learning experience through the use of modern technology. Thirdly, the main development have been conducted by an undergraduate student, and such incorporation of students in research projects is a good example of the University's strategy of incorporating research in teaching.

#### Innovation

This project looks to use modern technology in an innovative way to foster engagement of the students with the material and provide a uniform teaching experience across various Heriot-Watt University campuses. The use of technology in the proposed project is an innovative teaching method.

9 Describe how you are sharing good practice within Heriot-Watt and beyond. In particular, give details of any liaison with other universities in Scotland (e.g. plans for papers, attendance at conferences):

#### Impact on teaching:

This project has multiple immediate impacts on improving student experience within the department. The initial test platform will be utilised in the first year of teaching programming within the department. Verena Rieser, Michael Lones and Gudmund Grov are currently in charge of this teaching within the department and will assist the integration of the development platform within the teaching syllabus. This will have impact for students across several of Heriot-Watt's campuses.

The vast majority of courses in later years of the Computer Science programme (including Programming Languages, Language Processors, Data Structures and Algorithms, Web Programming, Computer Network Security, Artificial Intelligence, etc.) assume that students have acquired solid programming skills during their first year. Students that haven't done so, will struggle in their later years. Thus, providing an improved method of delivery of programming teaching will have a positive impact on these courses as well.

Furthermore, we hope to integrate this platform in a joint coursework between Software Development 2 and 3. This will allow students to integrate their knowledge in a joint project. Having an automated method to assess and provide feedback to students, also means a reduction of additional workload for the lecturers, while students profit from having more practical experience.

#### **Dissemination:**

 The outcomes from the first phase was disseminated at the HWU Learning & Teaching symposium on 7 October 2015, and we plan to present the final outcomes of the two phases at L & T in October 2016. We are also planning to submit a paper to suitable conference or journal



describing our tool and the result of our evaluation. For example, we are in the process of preparing a paper submission for the Creative Education Special Issue on "Teaching, Learning and Assessment" (Submission Deadline: June 28th, 2016).

#### Internal & external liaison:

Internally, we plan to present this framework to our colleagues at the CS department, but also from Math and EPS and Dubai, and seek opportunities to collaborate. In particular, within MACS we have regular meetings discussion teaching and technology where we can present this. We will also seek collaboration opportunities throughout the university.

To date we have not cooperated directly with another University, as the tool is very specific for the two modules we are teaching. However, we have close contacts to other Scottish Universities through the Scottish Informatics and Computer Science Alliance (SICSA), the Scottish Crucible (Rieser, Lones) and the Royal Society of Edinburgh Young Academy (Rieser). For example, Verena Rieser is part of the RSE Young Academy working group "Computing in Schools", where this framework will be a useful tool to explore. This is future work.

#### 1 Next steps:

0

As it stands, we have been able to create satisfactory tests for labs comprising of discrete tasks and provide feedback for them. We have tested them in a lab, and analysed the feedback. This has indicated a need for more specific feedback on the tasks students are solving, and a next step will be to investigate how this can be done.

We are now working on combining tests such as these so that it is possible to automatically provide feedback and marks for a more complex piece of work such as a class project. To this end we will continue to re-factor the code to make the addition of more types of test easier, and to make combining them into larger tests simpler with the eventual goal being a robust way of creating test for large end of year scale projects. The ongoing goal is to make the tool as flexible and easy to use as possible so it is accessible for people beyond the Heriot Watt Computer Science department. As discussed earlier, the tool must be suitably flexible and easy to use for the person setting up the test as well as those using it for feedback. A challenge would be to study how much of the tests created can be automatically marked. This is ongoing work in this project. We do not believe it is feasible to fully automate this process, but it would be interesting to see just how far automated grading could go. The rest of the coursework will be graded manually. One way of testing the automated marking, will be to grade the automatic part manually and see how the results compare (at least for a selection of solutions). We would then be able to use this data to detect flaws and limitations in the automated marking procedure. The automatic marking could also be further developed. Measures should be taken to prevent the tests being tampered with to provide false positives (i.e. with "checksums"). Further functionality could be added to compare students' answers to check for plagiarism. Once security is robust enough it should be possible to have the tool automatically update the students marks, further freeing up the lab-helpers to assist the students who were really struggling and also reducing the chance of a students marks not being updated. We could add further functionality e.g. coupling and coherence tests or loop monitoring. It should also be possible



	to use the reflect library to get all the classes in a given package. This could be used to leave the students free to name their own classes. Plus any other desired functionality that became apparent during the testing process. However, we do not believe that this will be feasible during the lifetime of the project.
	Another piece of functionality we discussed including was the concept of timed feedback. If the tool notices no input for a while it would be good if it could ask the student if they needed assistance. This would need to be tested to prevent it being intrusive or annoying but it would possibly prevent stuck students staring at a screen unsure how to progress.
	This initial exploration of using an automatic testing harness to provide feedback on programming performance has also opened interesting research questions which we will not be able to complete during the lifetime of the project but hope to explore in future work. In particular, we want to investigate how to support deep learning using tailored automated feedback by, for example, using interactive tutorial dialogue technology (Litman, 2013).
1	Additional information:
1 1	Additional information:
1 1	Additional information: <u>Bibliography:</u>
1	Additional information: <u>Bibliography:</u> Michael McCracken, Vicki Almstrum, et al. 2001. A multi-national, multi-institutional study of assessment of programming skills of first-year CS students. In Working group reports from ITiCSE on Innovation and technology in computer science education. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 125-180.
1	Additional information: <u>Bibliography:</u> Michael McCracken, Vicki Almstrum, et al. 2001. A multi-national, multi-institutional study of assessment of programming skills of first-year CS students. In Working group reports from ITiCSE on Innovation and technology in computer science education. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 125-180. Arto Vihavainen, Thomas Vikberg, Matti Luukkainen, and Martin Pärtel. 2013. Scaffolding students' learning using test my code. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Innovation and technology in computer science education (ITiCSE '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 117-122.
1	Additional information:         Bibliography:         Michael McCracken, Vicki Almstrum, et al. 2001. A multi-national, multi-institutional study of assessment of programming skills of first-year CS students. In Working group reports from ITiCSE on Innovation and technology in computer science education. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 125-180.         Arto Vihavainen, Thomas Vikberg, Matti Luukkainen, and Martin Pärtel. 2013. Scaffolding students' learning using test my code. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on Innovation and technology in computer science education (ITiCSE '13). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 117-122.         Diane Litman. Enhancing the Effectiveness of Spoken Dialogue for STEM Education. Proceedings Workshop on Speech and Language Technology in Education (SLaTE), pp. 13-14, Grenoble, France.



















### Do you feel the tool worked as intended?



- no issues
- As said before. It couldn't detect my methods.
- I had working procedures doing the tasks in the format it asks yet it didn't pick up on them at all.
- i think is not working
- none
- At one stage, one of my methods continued to fail, even though I was sure it was correct. After approx 1 hour of
  making changes, I realised I had forgotten to make the method static (I admit this was my own stupidity, but still
  very annoying).
- static methods are required but not told that is the case
- None
- none
- The tool ran just fine but I struggled to understand the feedback.
- It required us to change the way bits of code work without explaining why. You had to change every method to Public Static, without ever being told to.
- n/a
- it marked a correct method as incorrect
- Unware that methods had to be static
- Doesn't always work
- Delivered the wrong feedback as was mentioned above.
- Incorrect importing
- the stock answers
- did not recognise my methods to me the ones it is looking for
- wouldn't see the method as recursive without the static modifier





Please be aware that this report will be publicly available on the University's webpages Please complete in Word and return to Mirren.McLeod@hw.ac.uk by 30.5.16 (reports not completed in Word will be returned)

